1	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP **E-filed 5/2/07**		
2	JAMES M. CHADWICK Cal. Bar No. 157114 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111-4106 Tel: 415.434.9100		
4	Fax: 415.434.3947		
5	jchadwick@sheppardmullin.com		
6	DLA PIPER US LLP DIANA NG FUNG Cal. Bar No. 185175		
	2000 University Avenue		
7	East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2248		
8	Tel: 650.833.2000 Fax: 650.833.2001		
9	diana.ng.fung@dlapiper.com		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff, HORTA, LLC		
11	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (#88625)		
12	NORA FRIMANN, Chief Trial Attorney (#93249) JOSEPH P. DiCIUCCIO, Sr. Deputy City Attorney (#56885)		
13	Office of the City Attorney		
14	200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, California 95113-1905		
15	Telephone: (408) 535-1900		
16	Facsimile: (408) 998-3131 Email: cao.main@sanjoseca.gov		
	Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF SAN JOSE		
17			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
21	HORTA, LLC,	CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS)	
22	Holeiri, EDC,	` '	
23	Plaintiff,	[Related Case Nos. C 00-20018 JF and C 05-03778 JF]	
24	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
25		REGARDING HEARING ON MOTION TO RECONSIDER, REOPEN AND AMEND	
26	CITY OF SAN JOSE,	JUDGMENT	
27	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel Complaint: August 23, 2002	
28			

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	I
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	ı

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, on February 28, 2005, the Court entered judgment in this action brought by Horta against the City of San Jose (the "San Jose Action");

WHEREAS, on March 14, 2005, plaintiff Horta, LLC ("Horta") filed its Motion to Reconsider, Reopen, and Amend Judgment (the "Motion to Amend") and set it for hearing on May 6, 2005;

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2005, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to Amend to August 5, 2005 to permit Horta to conduct additional discovery relating to issues presented in the Motion to Amend;

WHEREAS, Horta has filed a separate action to enforce its right to the requested discovery from the Federal Aviation Administration in the related case *Horta, LLC v. Federal Aviation Administration*, *et al.*, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C 05-03778 JF (the "*FAA Action*");

WHEREAS, on October 27, 2005, Horta filed a motion for summary judgment in the *FAA Action* to compel the FAA to provide complete responses to Horta's discovery requests and to provide the requested deposition testimony;

WHEREAS, the Court took the FAA's motion to quash and Horta's motion for summary judgment under submission at the close of the December 16, 2005 combined hearing on the motions and has not yet ruled on them;

WHEREAS, the parties have previously stipulated to and the Court has ordered a number of continuances of the hearing on the Motion to Reconsider, Reopen and Amend Judgment, most recently continuing the hearing to May 4, 2007;

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2007, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to Reconsider, Reopen and Amend Judgment and provided, pursuant to the parties stipulation, that pending the resolution of settlement discussions with City will not cite operations for the purpose of transporting the San Jose SaberCats arena football team as violations of the curfew;

WHEREAS, Horta and the City of San Jose have reached a tentative settlement, but have not yet resolved this matter; and

27

28

Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF Document 289 Filed 05/02/07 Page 3 of 4

1	WHEREAS, Horta and the City of San Jose (the "City") agree that:	
2	(1) The hearing on the Motion to Reconsider, Reopen and Amend Judgment should be	
3	continued to May 18, 2007;	
4	(2) The parties will inform the Court if a settlement is concluded prior to the	
5	continued hearing date;	
6	(3) This continuance will be on the same terms and conditions as the stipulation and	
7	order filed February 23, 2007;	
8	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action,	
9	that:	
10	(1) The hearing on the Motion to Reconsider, Reopen and Amend Judgment should be	
11	continued to May 18, 2007;	
12	(2) The parties will inform the Court if a settlement is concluded prior to the	
13	continued hearing date;	
14	(3) This continuance will be on the same terms and conditions as the stipulation and	
15	order filed February 23, 2007.	
16	Dated: May 1, 2007 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP	
17		
18	By/s/	
19	JAMES CHADWICK Attorneys for Plaintiff HORTA, LLC	
20		
21	Dated: May 1, 2007 RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney	
22		
23	By Mara Triman	
24	NORA FRIMAN ¹ JOSEPH P. DICIUCCIO	
25	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF SAN JOSE	
26		
27		
28		

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	Pursuant to stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS SO ORDERED:	
3	(1) The hearing on the Motion to Reconsider, Reopen and Amend Judgment should be	
4	continued to May 18, 2007;	
5	(2) The parties will inform the Court if a settlement is concluded prior to the	
6	continued hearing date;	
7	(3) This continuance will be on the same terms and conditions as the stipulation and	
8	order filed February 23, 2007.	
9	Dated: May 2_, 2007	
10	HON. EREMY FOGEL	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		